What the (local) Distance Ladder Tells us about H₀

Rachael L. Beaton

Princeton University

Hubble Fellow, Carnegie-Princeton Fellow

APOGEE-2 Science Working Group Co-Chair

Some Truths

Messier 101

Closest modern SNe Ia is at 7 Mpc



Either Hubble or 8+meter class telescopes.



Local galaxies offer ability to do:

- Different datasets
- Different wavelengths
- Different scientists/groups
- Different Techniques

Rachael L. Beaton

Andromeda

Goals

- Demystify distance measurements and discuss the cross-checks built into the distance ladder.
- Think about consensus in terms of random and systematic effects.
- Make an argument for my personal favorite distance indicator as being a long-term viable path forward.

Being an Experimentalist101

Repeat measurements test both systematic and random uncertainties.

Independent Techniques

New ways to test the problem.

Independent Analysis of Same Data

Requires access to all of the data

Independent Datasets Using same Technique

Challenging Measurements Are Not Often Repeated

Modern Distance Ladder

Starting from Riess et al. 2009, it is quite streamlined.







Geometric Distances

We break walls in our calibration by calibrating in multiple systems using these techniques.



Trigonometric Parallax Measured to individual stars

Cross checked via pulsational & statistical parallax

Eclipsing Binaries Measure distance to binary, usually in a Globular Cluster or Galaxy



Either in a stellar atmosphere (AGB stars) or accretion disk of Black Hole (NGC4258)

Rachael L. Beaton

Summarized in Beaton et al. 2018 thanks to the request of a great referee.

Eclipsing Binaries



Find & Characterize with **time domain photometry**. Ideal systems for distances are (i) detatched, (2) have 2 eclipses with 1 being "total," and (3) late-type stars are better.

Model the orbits of the two stars with time domain spectroscopy to get radii of the stars in angular units. Using the surface brightness – radius relation, obtain a distance.

Limit to method set entirely by spectroscopic needs.

Figure from Pietrzyński et al. 2013 but many many great papers on EBs.

Eclipsing Binaries





on

Direct Test of Parallaxes

Standard Candles

Variable Stars



[i] Period – Luminosity – Metallicity Relationship

[ii] Distances to individual Stars

In nearby galaxies, we can use all of these.



[i] Luminosity – Color Relationships

[ii] Statistical Distances to a Population

Pulsational Variable Stars

Live in specific phases of stellar evolution

and follow Period-Luminosity-Metallicity Relationships:

$$M_{\lambda} = \alpha_{\lambda} \log(P) + \beta_{\lambda} + \gamma_{\lambda} [M/H]$$



Leavitt & Pickering 1912

We measure:

- Periods
- Apparent magnitudes

We estimate:

- Milky Way foreground extinction
- Internal extinction
- Chemical abundance

We get:

 Distance to each star with a precision set by intrinsic width of the relationship + our observational uncertainties

Statistical Techniques

Make use of pauses or abrupt changes in stellar evolution where stars either build up or are distinctly lacking in stellar sequences. These have empirical color-magnitude relationships:



$$\lambda_{\lambda} (m_{\lambda} - n_{\lambda}) + p_{\lambda}$$

We measure:

- Apparent magnitudes
- Apparent colors (2 magnitudes)
- Density of stars

We estimate:

- Milky Way foreground extinction
- [Internal extinction]
- Complexity of stellar population

We get:

 Distance to each star with a precision set by intrinsic variation f the stellar class + our observational uncertainties

IC1613: A Local Galaxy



- Dwarf Galaxy
- ~740 kpc away (~Andromeda)
 - Easily observed with 4-m class telescopes
- Low metallicity
- Low extinction
- Has everything but a SNe la





Carnegie-Chicago Hubble Program



Independent Distance Ladder

In 4 years had to catch up with decades of work with Cepheids.

And we're nearly there!

<u>Front</u>: Dylan Hatt, Taylor Hoyt, In-Sung Jang, Rachael Beaton, Wendy Freedman, Arvind Gupta <u>Back</u>: Mark Seibert, Andy Monson, Jeff Rich, Barry Madore

Cepheids

- 1. Young, Massive Stars
- 2. In Disks/Star forming regions
 - 1. Crowding
 - 2. Dust
- 3. Metal Rich



Tip of the Red Giant Branch

- 1. Old, low mass stars
- 2. Halos
 - 1. No crowding
 - 2. No dust
- 3. Metal Poor

If we agree that things "look okay" nearby, then this comparison becomes one about the systematics in distant Cepheids.

Cepheids

- 1. Young, Massive Stars
- 2. In Disks/Star forming regions
 - 1. Crowding





c okay" nearby, then this comparison becomes
systematics in distant Cepheids.

Tip of the Red Giant Branch

- 1. Old, low mass stars
- 2. Halos
 - 1. No crowding
 - 2. No dust
- 3. Metal Poor



Bringing Down the Walls

Independent Techniques

Continue to Develop new ways to look at the problem. Expensive.

Independent Analysis of Same Data Open Access to Data – including intermediate products & codes Promote reproducibility in our papers

Independent Datasets Using same Technique Challenging Measurements Are Not Often Repeated JWST could give us a 2nd dataset, but expensive.



Make us convince you. But make sure this hard work is rewarded!